Case 3:73-pv-00127-RCJ-WGC Document 467 Filed 09/24/04 Page 1 of 7

1	Scott B. McElroy	Greg Addington, Assistant U.S. Attorney	
2	Alice E. Walker	Susan L. Schneider, Trial Attorney	
2	GREENE, MEYER & McELROY, P.C.	U.S. Department of Justice	
3	1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220	Environmental and Natural Resources Div.	
ျ	Boulder, CO 80302	999 - 18th Street, Suite 945	
4	303/442-2021	Denver, Colorado 80202 303/312-7308	
-	Kelly R. Chase		
5	P.O. Box 2800	Attorneys for the United States of America	
Ĭ	Minden, Nevada 89423		
6	702/782-3099	Gordon H. DePaoli	
	Attorneys for the Walker River Paiute Tribe	Woodburn & Wedge	
7		6100 Neil Rd., Suite 500 Reno, NV 89511	
		775/688-3010	
8		7737000 3010	
		Attorney for the Walker River Irrigation District	
9			
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE DISTRIC	CT OF NEVADA	
11			
^^	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) IN EQUITY NOs. C-125-ECR;	
12	ONTED STATES OF AMERICA	C-125-B	
	Plaintiff,)	
13	r minini,	,)	
[WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	, \	
14	WALKER RIVER FAIOTE TRIDE,) }	
)	
461	Dlaintiff Integrance	A DDF_HFADING STATUS DFPORT	
15	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	PRE-HEARING STATUS REPORT	
ł)	
15 16	Plaintiff-Intervenor, vs.) PRE-HEARING STATUS REPORT) (!	
16	vs.)	
ł	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,)	
16	vs.)	
16 17 18	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.)	
16 17	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT,)	
16 17 18 19	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.)	
16 17 18	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants.		
16 17 18 19 20	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.		
16 17 18 19	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the contract of the court has set october 1, 2004, as the contract of the court has set october 2	late for a status conference before the	
16 17 18 19 20 21	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the contract of the court has set october 1, 2004, as the contract of the court has set october 2	late for a status conference before the	
16 17 18 19 20	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants.	late for a status conference before the	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the demonstrate Judge in the C-125-B subproceeding.	late for a status conference before the Minutes of the Court, No. C-125-B (July 20,	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the contract of the court has set october 1, 2004, as the contract of the court has set october 2	late for a status conference before the Minutes of the Court, No. C-125-B (July 20,	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the of Magistrate Judge in the C-125-B subproceeding. A 2004). The United States, the Walker River Painter	date for a status conference before the Minutes of the Court, No. C-125-B (July 20, e Tribe ("Tribe"), and the Walker River	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Vs. WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the demonstrate Judge in the C-125-B subproceeding.	date for a status conference before the Minutes of the Court, No. C-125-B (July 20, e Tribe ("Tribe"), and the Walker River	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the defendance of the C-125-B subproceeding. A 2004). The United States, the Walker River Painted Irrigation District ("District") respectfully suggest	date for a status conference before the Minutes of the Court, No. C-125-B (July 20, e Tribe ("Tribe"), and the Walker River	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the of Magistrate Judge in the C-125-B subproceeding. A 2004). The United States, the Walker River Painter	date for a status conference before the Minutes of the Court, No. C-125-B (July 20, e Tribe ("Tribe"), and the Walker River	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the defendance of the C-125-B subproceeding. A 2004). The United States, the Walker River Painted Irrigation District ("District") respectfully suggest	date for a status conference before the Minutes of the Court, No. C-125-B (July 20, e Tribe ("Tribe"), and the Walker River	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the defendance of the C-125-B subproceeding. A 2004). The United States, the Walker River Painted Irrigation District ("District") respectfully suggest	date for a status conference before the Minutes of the Court, No. C-125-B (July 20, e Tribe ("Tribe"), and the Walker River	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al. Defendants. The Court has set October 1, 2004, as the defendance of the C-125-B subproceeding. A 2004). The United States, the Walker River Painted Irrigation District ("District") respectfully suggest	date for a status conference before the Minutes of the Court, No. C-125-B (July 20, e Tribe ("Tribe"), and the Walker River	

2

1

3

5

4

6 7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 **26**

27

28

I. MOTION TO STAY LANDOLT MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

On March 10, 2004, Joseph and Beverly Landolt filed a Motion for Order to Show Cause re Contempt; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Order to Show Cause; Declaration of David Haight (Mar. 10, 2004) ("Landolt Motion"). In response to the Landolt Motion, the Tribe filed the Motion to Stay Responses to and Court's Consideration of Motion for Order to Show Cause re Contempt, or, Alternatively, to Dismiss Without Prejudice (Apr. 19, 2004) ("Tribe's Motion"). Additionally, the District filed the Walker River Irrigation District's Points and Authorities in Opposition to Motion for Order to Show Cause re Contempt (Apr. 19, 2004) ("District's Opposition").

By the Minutes of the Court (July 14, 2004), the Court referred the Tribe's Motion, "to the Magistrate Judge for consideration and decision." The United States, the Tribe and the District respectfully suggest that the Magistrate Judge hear argument on the Tribe's Motion to stay or, alternatively, dismiss the Landolts' motion for an order to show cause without prejudice during the pendency of the ongoing settlement negotiations. The United States, the Tribe and the District respectfully suggest that the hearing scheduled for October 1, 2004 would be a beneficial time to also consider the Tribe's Motion since all of the parties will be present before the Court and this issue is now fully briefed. The undersigned counsel for the Tribe has communicated with Mr. Howard regarding the inclusion of the Tribe's Motion in the October 1 hearing. Mr. Howard does not object to expanding the scope of the October 1 hearing, to the extent the Court requires argument to resolve the Tribe's Motion. The undersigned counsel for the Tribe has communicated with counsel for the other parties to the C-125 proceeding, and the C-125-B and

Case 3:73-pv-00127-RCJ-WGC Document 467 Filed 09/24/04 Page 3 of 7

0

5

C-125-C subproceedings, and they have indicated that they do not object to the consideration of the Tribe's Motion at the October 1, 2004 hearing.

The United States, the Tribe and the District wish to emphasize that their suggestion applies only to the Tribe's Motion, that is, whether the Court should stay, or alternatively, dismiss without prejudice the Landolt Motion. The Court has ruled that "[t]he parties will not be required to respond to the merits of the Landolt motion (#622) until the present motion of the Tribe (#680) is ruled upon by the Court." *Minutes of the Court* (May 13, 2004). Thus, the October 1, 2004 hearing should not be addressed to the merits of the Landolt Motion, but rather, only to whether that motion should be stayed, or alternatively, dismissed without prejudice as a result of the settlement negotiations.

II. CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER AND STATUS OF SERVICE.

Pursuant to the *Order Governing Mediation Process* (May 27, 2003), all matters identified under the *Case Management Order* (Apr. 18, 2000) are stayed pending the ongoing settlement negotiations, except for service upon water rights claimants in the C-125-B and C-125-C subproceedings. *Order Governing Mediation Process* ¶ 2(c). The United States and the Tribe have been working to mail service packets to potential counter-defendants according to the groups of potential counter-defendants identified in Paragraph 3 of the *Case Management Order*. On May 26, 2004, the United States filed the *Report of the United States of America Concerning Status of Service on Certain Persons and Entities* (May 26, 2004), by which the United States provided the Court with a comprehensive update of service efforts and asked the Court to take action with respect to various of the potential counter-defendants.

Case 3:73-0v-00127-RCJ-WGC Document 467 Filed 09/24/04 Page 4 of 7

The United States, the Tribe and the District respectfully suggest that at the October 1, 2004 hearing, the Court consider the Report of the United States of America Concerning Status of Service on Certain Persons and Entities, and the requested actions set forth therein.

III. NOTICE AND SERVICE UPON ADDITIONAL SERVED PARTIES, AND MANAGEMENT BY THE CLERK OF THE COURT.

As a result of the ongoing service efforts, parties have entered notices of appearance in the C-125-B subproceeding. Some of those parties are not represented by counsel, and it is likely that as service efforts progress, additional unrepresented parties will join the C-125-B subproceeding. The United States, the Tribe and the District are concerned about the identification of the appropriate procedure by which the parties and the Clerk of the Court may provide notice to those parties. It is particularly important that this issue be discussed so that the Clerk of the Court can effectively manage a significant number of additional parties in the C-125-B subproceeding.

The United States, the Tribe and the District respectfully suggest that the Court consider the question of service and notice to the additional parties who appear in the C-125-B subproceeding, and management of that large number of parties by the Clerk of the Court.

Date: Sipt, 23, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Greg Addington, Assistant U.S. Attorney Susan L. Schneider, Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Environmental and Natural Resources Div. 999 - 18th Street, Suite 945 Denver, Colorado 80202 303/312-7308

Attorneys for the United States of America

Case 3:73-pv-00127-RCJ-WGC Document 467 Filed 09/24/04 Page 5 of 7

l	
1	Gordon H. DePaoli
2	Woodburn & Wedge
3	6100 Neil Rd., Suite 500 Reno, NV 89511
	775/688-3010
4	Attorney for the Walker River Irrigation District
5	
6	Scott B. McElroy, Alice E. Walker
7	Greene, Meyer & McElroy, P.C. 1007 Pearl St., #220
8	Boulder, CO 80302 303/442-2021
9	
10	Kelly R. Chase P.O. Box 2800
11	Minden, NV 89423 775/782-3099
12	
13	By: Alice E. Walker
	Attorneys for the Walker River Paiute Tribe
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2 3	
24	
Í	
2 5	
26	
27	
المسا	

Case 3:73-pv-00127-RCJ-WGC Document 467 Filed 09/24/04 Page 6 of 7

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2			
3	I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Pre-Hearing Status Repor was sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, this day of September, 2004, to the following:		
4	Marta Adams	Cherri Emm-Smith	
	Deputy Nevada Attorney General	11165 Silver Lane	
5	100 N. Carson St	Silver Springs, NV 89429	
6	Carson City, NV 89701	Mary Hackenbracht	
	Greg Addington	Deputy California Attorney General	
7	Asst. U.S. Attorney	P.O. Box 944255	
8	U.S. Department of Justice	Sacramento, CA 94244	
9	100 W. Liberty, #600	Treva J. Hearne	
9	Reno, NV 89501	Law Offices of Treva J. Hearne	
	George Benesch	557 Washington St., Lower Level	
10	9432 Double R Blvd., Suite B	Reno, NV 89503	
44	Reno, NV 89521-5977		
11		Simeon Herskovits	
12	Linda A. Bowman	Western Environmental Law Center	
	Law Office of Linda A. Bowman Ltd. 540 Hammill Lane	P.O. Box 1507 Taos, NM 87571	
13	Reno, NV 89511	1 aos, 1917 67371	
ا،		John W. Howard	
14	Kelly R. Chase	Thomas J. McKinney	
15	P.O. Box 2800	JW Howard Attorneys, Ltd.	
10	Minden, NV 89423	625 Broadway, Suite 1206	
16	James Clear	San Diego, CA 92101	
	U.S. Dept. of Justice	Robert L. Hunter, Superintendent	
17	P.O. Box 7611	Western Nevada Agency	
10	Washington, D.C. 20044	Bureau of Indian Affairs	
18	Described interv	1677 Hot Springs Road	
19	Ross E. deLipkau Marshall, Hill, Cassas & deLipkau	Carson City, NV 89706	
	P.O. Box 2790	John Kramer	
20	Reno, NV 89505	Department of Water Resources	
01		1416 - 9th Street	
21	Gordon H. DePaoli Dale E. Ferguson	Sacramento, CA 95814	
22	Woodburn and Wedge	Timothy A. Lukas	
	P.O. Box 2311	P.O. Box 3237	
2 3	Reno, NV 89505-2790	Reno, NV 89505	
24	Jill Drake	Stephen M. MacFarlane	
	Deputy Attorney General	U.S. Dept. of Justice	
2 5	Office of the Attorney General	501 I Street, Suite 9-700	
00	100 N. Carson St.	Sacramento, CA 95814-2322	
26	Carson City, NV 89701-4717		

Case 3:73-cv-00127-RCJ-WGC Document 467 Filed 09/24/04 Page 7 of 7

1		
*	Erin Mahaney, Staff Counsel	William E. Schaeffer
2	Office of Chief Counsel	PO Box 936
	State Water Resources Control Board	Battle Mountain, NV 89820
3	1001 I Street, 22 nd Floor	
· •	Sacramento, CA 95814	Susan L. Schneider
4		Attorney for the United States of America
*	Hank Meshorer, Special Litigation Counsel	United States Department of Justice
5	U.S .Department of Justice - Tax Div.	Environment & Natural Resources Division
٦,	Ben Franklin Station	999 18th St., Suite 945
6	P.O. Box 683	Denver, CO 80202
Y	Washington, D.C. 20044-0683	Laura A. Schroeder
7	5 :114	P.O. Box 12527
1	David Moser	Portland, OR 97212
8	McCutchen, Doyle, Brown	Politiand, OK 97212
Ĭ	3 Embarcadero Cntr., Suite 1800	James Shaw
9	San Francisco, CA 94111	Chief Deputy Water Commissioner
ျ	B :11 M :	U.S. Board of Water Commissioners
10	David L. Negri	P.O. Box 853
•	U.S. Department of Justice	Yerington, NV 89447
11	161 E. Mallard Dr., Suite A	Termigron, 144 05447
	Boise, ID 83706	Stacey Simon
12	Michael W. Neville	Deputy County Counsel
	Deputy California Attorney General	Mono County
13	455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000	P.O. Box 2415
	San Francisco, CA 94102-3664	Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546
14	Sun Francisco, C. (7) 1102 500 7	
_	Todd Plimpton	Stuart L. Somach
15	Belanger & Plimpton	DeCuir & Somach
ł	1135 Central Ave.	813 6th Street, 3rd Floor
16	PO Box 59	Sacramento, CA 95814-2403
ļ	Lovelock, NV 89419	,
17		Garry Stone
	William Quinn	290 South Arlington Ave.
18	Field Solicitor's Office	Reno, NV 89501
ļ	Department of the Interior	
19	401 W. Washington Street, SPC 44	R. Michael Turnipseed, P.E.
	Phoenix, AZ 85003	Dept. of Conservation & Natural Resources
20	_	State of Nevada
	Hugh Ricci, P.E.	123 West Nye Lane
21	Division of Water Resources	Carson City, NV 89706
00	State of Nevada	With Block Co. District
22	123 West Nye Lane	Walker River Irrigation District
00	Carson City, NV 89710	P.O. Box 820
23	Androw U. Soveror	Yerington, NV 89447
ച	Andrew H. Sawyer P.O. Box 100	Craig M. Wilson
24	Sacramento, CA 95812	P.O. Box 100
OE	Sacramento, Cr. 93012	Sacramento, CA 95812
25		
26		Felina Berson
20		- Line And -
27		J

28